SECRET

0X0-0379-60

10 March 1960

28		
69 LINE TO THE TOTAL STATE OF TH	0.5)	
11 1 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	25)	(1
10 To	_	
And K2		
DATE: 3 FACE		

MANAGEMENT POR & Chief, Technical Analysis Staff

SHOUTH ON

s Fed Camprison

- i. An embendion of the basic fuel parameters does not indicate that the IMA 533 fuel is significantly better than present fuels to warrant a flight test program and/or a change in the operational fuel. The rough comparison between PMA 523 and 12-2 does yield a greater range capability of 250 mentical miles in the clean configuration and an increase of 270 miles when utilizing the slipper tarks. The above figures are for an operational mission rather than a ferry mission.
- appendic grantly of the fuel veries from 0.778 to 0.005. If some weighted average is used for comparative evaluation, the PAA 523 fuel yields a range increase of only 50 miles clean and 50 miles with aligner tarks. If the pilot handbook fuel specific is used, these exist ranges decrease to 50 and 60 miles respectively. If the upper limit of the fuel specific is used, the PAA 523 is not as good as the Mily-255EA. The difference between the Milyper fuel and the alternate fuel (MY-1) is not measurable on the miles rule.
- 3. Without a flight tost program, no comparison can be made between the field siretart compatition. It would require an extensive test program to determine if significant maintenance advantages would be realised by the more favorable luminosity number of PNA 523. Costs of the two fuels and manufacturing complexity comparisons are not known. Since the employment is radically different between the U-23 and the proposed A-12, there is no requirement to use the U-23 as a "test bed" in this circumstance.
- 4. Read on the above, it is recommended that no flight test pro-

25X1